



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,981	03/01/2002	Stefan Jansen	1076.41311X00	2225	
20457	20457 7590 12/30/2003		EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	WIMER, MICHAEL C			
SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STR	CEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889				

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s)

		10/084,981	JANSEN, STEFAN						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Michael C. Wimer	2821						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHOTHE I	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
	Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		-· action is non-final.							
,	,		scoution as to the	o morito is					
ا_ا(د	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-12,17-20 and 25-28</u> is/are reject	ted.							
· _	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8,13-16,21-24 and 29-32</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12)									
Attachmen	Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:								

Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,981

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4,9-12,17-20 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Annamaa et al (6348892).

Regarding Claims 1-4,9-12,17-20 and 25-28, Annamaa et al show an antenna for a portable phone in Figures 1,2 and 4-7, where the antenna element is formed on the edge of a multi-layer PCB 570,550 and 540, disposed above a ground plane 510, for example in Fig. 5, and connected through the layers thereof with vias 502,503 and 504 from the antenna 520,530 and 560 to the ground plane 510, all arranged as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-8, 13-16, 21-24 and 29-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/084,981

Art Unit: 2821

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/06/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, all of the limitations of the claimed elements are shown in the reference. The antenna, as a whole is defined as an element and is formed as multiple conductive patterns on substrates. The frequency of operation differs and the antenna element is disposed over a common ground plane. The antenna element has the conductive layers connected together. Applicant's arrangement is also formed as multi-layered configurations, commonly fed. It is important to note that the antenna of Annamaa et al. is fed with a single feeder connected to the radio circuitry. This defines a single element. The amendment emphasizing the "element" as a single element does not overcome the rejection employing the Annamaa et al reference. Since all claimed subject matter is shown in Annamaa et al, it is not seen that the claims at hand patentably define thereover. The rejection stands.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/084,981

Art Unit: 2821

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (703) 305-3555. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821

MCW 12/16/2003